



The Taxpayer Protection Act

UNRESTRAINED GROWTH IN GOVERNMENT SPENDING IS BURDENING TAXPAYERS

- Total Pennsylvania state government spending has consistently outpaced the growth of personal income.
 - ⇒ From 1970 to 2014, the state operating budget grew as a percentage of Pennsylvanians' personal income from 8.8% to 11.4%.
 - ⇒ Total state spending will have increased by approximately \$12,800 per family of four (adjusted for inflation) from 1970 through 2015.
- Given the burden of government spending, state and local taxes now cost Pennsylvanians \$4,374 per person, equaling 10.3% of resident's total income. Pennsylvania currently has the 10th highest state and local tax burden in the nation, up from 25th in 1991.

GOVERNMENT GROWTH HAS HAMPERED PENNSYLVANIA'S ECONOMY

- Despite the dramatic growth in state government spending, Pennsylvania ranks among the worst states in key economic performance indicators.
 - ⇒ From 1970-2013, Pennsylvania ranked 49th in job growth, 48th in population growth, and 45th in personal income growth.
- As Pennsylvania rapidly increased spending from 2000 to 2010, Pennsylvania's private sector lost 103,700 jobs, while government employment grew by 33,400.
 - ⇒ Between 2010 and 2014—a period when General Fund spending grew less than inflation and population—Pennsylvania added 133,500 private sector jobs.
- According to IRS data, Pennsylvania **lost a net 86,205 taxpayers to other states** from 2000 to 2011.
 - ⇒ This out-migration resulted in a *net loss of more than \$4.7 billion in household income*.

THE SOLUTION: THE TAXPAYER PROTECTION ACT (TPA)

The Taxpayer Protection Act would pave the way for the following reforms:

Limit future growth in state and local government spending.

- Government spending increases would be limited to the rate of inflation plus population growth.

Prioritization of spending.

- Spending restraints would allow lawmakers to determine how to best allocate taxpayer dollars.

Ensure a prudent Rainy Day Fund.

- 25% of taxes collected above estimated spending levels would be placed into a Rainy Day Fund that could be used to balance the budget during years when revenue growth does not meet projections.

Provide tax relief for families.

- 25% of all excess state tax revenues would be used to reduce Personal Income Tax rates.

THE TPA ALLOWS FOR REASONABLE INCREASES IN GOVERNMENT SERVICES

- A spending limit only slows the growth in spending; it does not mandate any cuts.
 - ⇒ Increases should be tied to an increase in prices (inflation) and the number of people served (population growth).
- The TPA is not a hard cap, allowing lawmakers to exceed the limit with a supermajority vote.

THE TAXPAYER PROTECTION ACT PREPARES PENNSYLVANIA FOR RECESSIONS

- Prior to 2011-12, Pennsylvania's total operating budget had increased for more than 40 consecutive years.
- The TPA would require responsible budgets with sustainable levels of growth in both good economic times and bad, avoiding budgets that have resulted in the deficits of recent years.
 - ⇒ The TPA would require lawmakers to set money aside in a Rainy Day Fund to prepare for declines in revenue.

SPENDING LIMITS SHOULD ENCOMPASS ALL GOVERNMENT SPENDING

- State budget discussions tend to focus only on the General Fund, which represents less than half of all state spending. Pennsylvania's total state operating budget is projected to be nearly \$71.8 billion.
 - ⇒ Since 1970, General Fund spending has grown nearly 90% in inflation-adjusted dollars. In contrast, spending from "Other State Funds" ballooned **by an estimated 677%**.
- Total state spending increased by \$33.8 billion since 2000. Under the TPA index, however, total state spending could have increased by \$17.8 billion, which would have saved each family of four an additional \$4,476 this year.

